

Guidelines for publications of conference proceeding paper in ICCMESH 2026

Research papers presented in the ICCMESH 2026 may be published in the SCOPUS Indexed conference proceeding

All the articles received from the participants will be double blind reviewed by two of the reviewer excluding the Editors. The manuscript will be accepted only when the review report for both the reviewer is positive according to the response obtained from the Authors after revision. When there is a conflict for taking the decision for the acceptance, a third opinion will be obtained from a third reviewer (also Editor also acts a reviewer).

Each registered delegate can submit only one paper to the conference proceeding.

Responsibilities of Authors

- Authors must ensure that their work is entirely original, properly cited, and does not infringe on the rights of others.
- Any form of plagiarism, data falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation is strictly prohibited.
- All submissions must be accompanied by disclosure of any conflicts of interest and funding sources.
- If human or animal subjects are involved, authors must provide evidence of approval by the relevant ethics committees and confirm that all research was performed in accordance with ethical and humane principles.

Responsibilities of Reviewers

- Reviewers should be objective and constructive in their reviews, avoiding personal criticism of the authors.
- Reviewers must maintain confidentiality about the manuscripts they review and must not use knowledge of the work for personal advantage.
- Conflicts of interest must be declared and reviewers should refuse themselves where appropriate.

Responsibilities of Editors

- Editors are responsible for overseeing the review process and ensuring the integrity of the publication process.
- Decisions to accept or reject a paper for publication should be based only on the paper's importance, originality, clarity, and the study's relevance to the remit of the conference.
- Editors must ensure that all submitted manuscripts being considered for publication undergo peer review by suitably qualified reviewers.
- Editors have a duty to act if ethical misconduct is suspected or reported, and to ensure the integrity of the academic record.

Publication Ethics

- The editor will take reasonable steps to identify and prevent publication of papers where research misconduct has occurred and to correct the record if ethical misconduct is discovered after publication.

- The confidentiality of the review process will be maintained, and the editor will not disclose any information about submitted manuscripts to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, and the editorial board, as appropriate.

Consent and Privacy

- For research involving human participants, informed consent must have been obtained and all privacy rights observed.
- Studies involving client data or cultural heritage must adhere to legal and ethical standards pertaining to consent, confidentiality, and data protection.

Format of Manuscript preparation

- i Font style: Times New Roman
- ii Font size: 14 (Title case), 12 (body)
- iii Line space: single
- iv Author name: Full name
- v Abstract: 250-300 words
- vi Keywords: 5-6
- vii Heading and sub-headings numbering
- viii Affiliation with PIN code, state, country, E-mail of the corresponding author
- ix Introduction, Materials and methods, Results and/or Discussions, Conclusion, Conclusion, acknowledgement if any, References-APA format.
- x Reference: within 30-35
- xi Reference format:

Research paper

Rybackzewska, M., & Sparks, L. (2022). Ageing consumers and e-commerce activities. *Ageing and Society*, 42(8), 1879–1898. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X20001932>

Book

Naskar, K., & Mandal, R., (1999). *Ecology and Biodiversity of Indian mangrove*. (P.448) Daya Books.

Book chapter

Bajpai, P. (2014) Process steps in recycled fibre processing. In P. Bajpai (Ed.), *Recycling and deinking of recovered paper* (pp. 55-83). Elsevier.